

Regarding: COURT OF APPEAL FOR ONTARIO  
CITATION: Boudreau v. Jakobsen, 2021 ONCA 511  
Date: 20210716  
DOCKET: C68278  
Brown, Roberts and Zamett JJ. A.

To Whom This May Concern:

My name is Bruce Boudreau, I am the respondent to Appeal docket: C68278, Jakobsen Vs. Boudreau.

Heard in writing on 2021, 07, 16. On appeal from the order of Justice Sharon Shore of the Superior Court of Justice dated February 5, 2020. The Appeal was dismissed.

I am contacting the Ontario Supreme Court today requesting that the public disclosure of the Appeal Decision be removed from the Ontario Court of Appeal Internet public access as it unfairly prejudices me, it has damaged important relationships, it continues to damage my mental health effectively leaves me unemployable. This public exposure is preventing me from moving forward with my life in a meaningful way. The continued exposure of this public disclosure has damaged me and will continue to do so as long as it is left in the public realm. This public disclosure has damaged me personally, socially and professionally.

In April of 2018, under difficult abusive circumstances and unbelievable mental health stress, I left the common law relationship I shared with Randi Jakobsen and I chose to live out as a queer man.

Being a queer man has been my crime. This has been the sole reason Randi Jakobsen has sought retribution through legal channels, the court system and socially. The contents of the Family Court trial decision dated February 5, 2020 and the public disclosure of the dismissed Appeal July 16, 2021 represents all of this. Homophobia is at the core of this issue as is coercive control. I would like to remind the court that regardless of the unfounded accusations and legal manipulation, I did effectively win all court proceedings. From the beginning of this ordeal, I have been unjustly and unfairly accused of unsubstantiated abuse and of unsubstantiated fraud and the legal system and courts have allowed for this. There is no evidence to support these accusations and no evidence has ever been presented to the courts. But that is how this public decision reads and is presented publicly.

This presents an inaccurate narrative but it is public and because of that, people believe it.

The Appeal narrative unfairly maligns me, and it presents a false and inaccurate representation of my character, it unfairly prejudices me and effectively leaves me unemployable. I am being unjustly and unfairly presented as a fraudster and criminal with mental health issues. The difficulty with the appeal process is that the Appellant shapes and designs the narrative of the appeal. And this leaves the door open to unjustly malign with half-truths and disingenuous rhetoric that is not based in truth or fact. Further, because the Court of Appeal publically discloses the contents of appeals this provides for public humiliation. This is abusive use of the Ontario Court of Appeal and this is what has taken place. This is coercive control, I have been and I am being victimized and abused further by the Appellant and the Ontario Court of Appeals system has been used to produce this result. This continued abuse also continues to affect my mental health. Since the day I chose to leave my former spouse, my intention was to get on with my life and improve my mental health. This continues to be my intention and this unnecessary, unethical and unfair public flogging has been preventing me from doing so.

Regarding: COURT OF APPEAL FOR ONTARIO  
CITATION: Boudreau v. Jakobsen, 2021 ONCA 511  
Date: 20210716  
DOCKET: C68278  
Brown, Roberts and Zamett JJ. A.

I am requesting that the Appeals Court provide advice on how to remove this document from the public realm. I have sought advice from various lawyers and there is no consensus on how to proceed forward in this regard. I am requesting that the courts provide a specific path and clear direction towards removing this document from the Internet.

This is a formal request to the appeals court to remove this content from the Internet as it is damaging to me and prejudices me. If the court is unable to do this, I am formally requesting that the court identify and advise the legal steps I must take to remove this public disclosure from the Internet.

I am prepared to return to court as required. Please advise on the following:

1/ I am requesting that the court remove the appeals decision from the Internet as it has, and will continue to cause damage to me personally, socially and professionally. Is it possible for the Court of Appeal to remove this public disclosure from the Internet and the public realm?

2/ If the court is unable to or refuses to remove the public disclosure, then I am requesting the court outline the legal process I must follow to remove this from the Internet/ public realm. If this requires a return to court, please provide advice and a path forward in this regard.

3/ Finally I am requesting that the court instruct me on what is necessary to correct the public record so it both tells the entire story and provides context, If this means return to a higher or lower court to re-appeal then please instruct me on what my legal options are. And, with respect, please do not tell me to consult a lawyer, I have already done so with a number of various opinions. It appears, there are conflicting options and today I am requesting clarity from the courts.

It is important that I know clearly what my options are, It is my intention to salvage what is left of my personal and professional reputation and regain control of my life if that is even possible at this point. The statistics for a 58 year old queer man in my position are not good or hopeful. But I need to try.

Simply, I do not want this to ever happen to another human being. If this requires returning to the courts to ensure this, then I am willing to do so.

Please note, I started writing this letter in a therapist's office over a year ago. It has been a challenge to complete, that is a result of trauma and physical and emotional abuse. I have been living in survival mode after leaving the abusive common law relationship. Since that time I have entered into the wch trauma treatment program and I have been diagnosed with cptsd, complex post-traumatic stress disorder. I have only begun to understand the damage that has been done to me. And/ but I can certainly understand how the legal system and this appeal have been used to create more damage and abuse against me. That is coercive control and it is just one of the many forms of abuse that I endured during the common law relationship with my former spouse.

Regarding: COURT OF APPEAL FOR ONTARIO  
CITATION: Boudreau v. Jakobsen, 2021 ONCA 511  
Date: 20210716  
DOCKET: C68278  
Brown, Roberts and Zamett JJ. A.

I understand that the Ontario court of appeal system is designed for everyone to be heard but it should never be allowed to be used as a form of retribution. That is allowing further abuse, and this appeal was used as a vehicle to seek vengeance. All of this abuse was demonstrated in the original trial and ignored by Justice Shore in her ruling. And because of this, Justice Shore made erroneous inferences regarding my inability or unwillingness to be productive. Justice shore misunderstood the level of abuse and at that time I was not able to express the depth of abuse. At that time I did not understand the depth of the damage caused.

It is clear Justice Shore does not understand this kind of abuse. But Justice shore did understand the many homophobic dog whistles Randi Jakobsen sent her way during trial and it is clear that Justice Shore was influenced and showed a biased to Randi Jakobsen because of this. I have never agreed with all of Justice Shore's decision, I believe she made some drastic errors. This stated, I didn't insist on a cross appeal at the time because I was not emotionally equipped to deal with another trial written or otherwise and so I followed my lawyers instructions to defend over cross-appeal. I only now recognize the error of that decision. The result is this biased public disclosure, which damages me as it was designed to do.

Regardless of the unfounded accusations and legal manipulations and miscarriage of justice, I did effectively win all legal and court proceedings. This unfortunately is not what the world sees or takes away from this public disclosure.

The Overriding issue today is that the Court of Appeal Public Disclosure damages me and handicaps me professionally and socially. How does the Court expect me to be self-sufficient with this inaccurate damning information in the public realm preventing me from doing so? I am requesting that the court remove this public lashing from the Internet as it is needlessly prejudicial against me and is causing me harm. To be clear, the damage has been done. This appeal was designed not to seek justice but to inflict more harm and damage on me. It has and is successful as intended. The information has been distributed and that cannot be undone but removal of the online decision will be a start to toward rectifying the damaged caused

Thank you for your consideration of my request. I look forward to your response at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,

Bruce Boudreau

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.